

LEGAL COUNSEL WORLDWIDE SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.

One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111-3492 Office: +1.415.954.0200

Fax:

+1.415.393.9887

Preferred Fax:

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

If Problems:

MAR 0 9 2007

March 9, 2007.

February 27, 2007

PLEASE DELIVER THESE PAGES IMMEDIATELY

Number of Pages (including cover):

14

To: Examiner Sam Ahn

SPE Mohammad ChayourFAX No.: 571-273-8300

ATEMP

COMPANY: USPTO Unit 2611

PHONE No.: 571-272-3044

Tawny J. Bauman FROM:

DIRECT DIAL NO.: +1.415.954.0398

E-MAIL: tbauman@ssd.com

RE: 2/22/07 Office Action mistakenly mailed to SSD for 10/750,317?

Dear Examiner Ahn,

We recently received the attached Office Action for case 10/750,317, but we do not believe it was intended for our firm. We do have a case with the listed attorney docket number & a very similar serial number -10/750,312 - but it is entitled STENT MANDREL FIXTURE AND METHOD FOR COATING STENTS.

Please confirm that the attached office action was not intended for our case, 10/750,312.

Thanks in advance,

Tawny Bauman – Patent Case Assistant

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

The attached information is LEGALLY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL and is intended only for the use of the addressee named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, please be aware that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the postal service. Thank you.

08970

91052.00039

Job No:

Account No.

Return to Office

SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY



MAR 0 9 2007



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Putent and Tradomark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,317	12/30/2003	Sacd Younis	50623.313	1697
	7590 02/22 29 6	KETED:	EXAM	INER
Squire, Sanders Suite 300	& Dempsey L.L.P.		AHN, S	SAM K
1 Maritime Plaza		FEB 2 6 2007	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco,	CA 94111 BY:	tho ANY: SZ	2611	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	LEQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY MAIL DATÉ	DELIVER	YMODE
3.MO	NITUS	02/22/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		MAR U 9 2007
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,317	YOUNIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Şam K. Ahn	2611
	ippears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO hits, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the malling date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C § 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 36	December 2003.	·
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for allow 		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D, 11, 453 O.G. 213
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7-17 and 24-26</u> is/are rejecte		
7) Claim(s) <u>3,4,6,18-23,27 and 28</u> is/are object		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		*
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form PTO-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some ⁺ c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Bui	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(2)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.
Altachment(s)	•	·.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Depar M	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Oate
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other: _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Art Unit: 2611

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loke et al. US 2001/0034217 A1 (Loke) in view of Jones et al. US 5,920,808 (Jones).

Regarding claim 1, Loke teaches a method for controlling a power amplifier (power amplifier 10 in Fig.3, further illustrated in Fig.4) in a transmitter (the transmitter in Fig.3) during a signal transmission (during transmission of RF signals output of the antenna 2, note paragraph 0069) comprising: determining a required output transmit power level (Fig.7 illustrates the steps for controlling the power amplifier 10, note paragraph 0084 and see 702 in Fig.7, wherein output power is determined); if the required output transmit power level is below a particular threshold, bypassing the power amplifier (if the output power is less than a threshold or the power range of the currently active power amplifiers 34,36,38, see 703 in Fig.7 taking the NO route, note paragraph 0086, the power amplifier is bypassed, note paragraph 0072); and if the required output transmit power level exceeds the particular threshold (if the power level exceeds the threshold or the power level is outside the power range of the currently active

Art Unit: 2611

17 Page 3

power amplifiers 34,36,38, note paragraph 0086, taking the YES route), powering up the power amplifier (note paragraph 0075, wherein when a power amplifier is powered up), and selecting the power amplifier (see 704 in Fig.7).

And although Loke teaches powering up the power amplifier, as previously explained, Loke does not explicitly teach wherein the power amplifier is powered up for at least a particular warm up period.

Jones teaches a power amplifier employed in a transmitter (115 in Fig.1) wherein the Jones suggests that the power amplifier is powered up for at least a warm up period when the power amplifier is to be used prior to actually employing the power amplifier for transmitting signals (note col.1, lines 12-34) by turning on the

transistors of the power amplifier. It is well-known to one skilled in the art that power amplifiers comprise transistors, including the power amplifier of Loke. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Jones in the system of Loke by heating up the transistors in the power amplifier for the purpose of preventing the power amplifier from behaving unpredictably, as taught by Jones (note col.1, lines 12-34), hence provide the power amplifier in a steady-state prior to actual signal transmission.

Regarding claim 5, the claim is rejected as applied to claim 1 with similar scope.

Loke also teaches the further limitation of determining a required power amplifier gain based, in part, on the required output transmit power level (note paragraph)

Art Unit: 2611

Page 4

0085, wherein an example is given of decreasing by 5 dBm, wherein one skilled in the art would recognize that other gain values of power level may be determined).

 Claims 2 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loke et al. US 2001/0034217 A1 (Loke) in view of Jones et al. US 5,920,808 (Jones) and Leinonen et al. US 6,563,883 B1 (Leinonen).

Regarding claim 2, Loke in view of Jones teach all subject matter claimed, as applied to claim 1. And although Loke further teaches wherein if the required output transmit power level is below a particular threshold, bypassing the power amplifier (if the output power is less than a threshold or the power range of the currently active power amplifiers 34,36,38, see 703 in Fig.7 taking the NO route, note paragraph 0086, the power amplifier is bypassed, note paragraph 0072), does not explicitly teach powering down the power amplifier.

Leinonen teaches a power amplifier in a transmitter (32 in Fig.3) wherein the transmitter further comprises the step of bypassing the power amplifier and switching the power amplifier (note col.11, lines 9-23). Hence, both Loke and Leinonen teach a power amplifier in a transmitter wherein the power amplifier is bypassed, and Leinonen further suggests switching the power amplifier off when the power amplifier is bypassed in order to operate the transmitter with a low power consumption (note col.11, lines 9-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate

Application/Control Number: 10/750,317

Art Unit: 2611

the teaching of Leinonen in the system of Loke and Jones by switching the power amplifier of Loke (10 in Fig.3) when the power amplifier is bypassed (through the switches 41,43 in Fig.4) for the purpose of operating the transmitter with a low power consumption, as taught by Leinonen (note col.11, lines 9-23).

Regarding claim 7, the claim is rejected as applied to claim 2 with similar scope. Jones, as previously explained, teaches switching off a power amplifier when not in use in order to reduce power consumption (note col.11, lines 9-23). One skilled in the art would further recognize that determining existence or non-existence and powering down the power amplifier may be realized by the teaching of Jones wherein when a device is not in use is switched to be turned off. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that the phone device of Loke incorporate the analysis above of powering down the power amplifier when the phone device is not in use for the purpose of operating the transmitter with a low power consumption, as taught by Leinonen (note col.11, lines 9-23).

Regarding claim 8, Loke further teaches wherein the elements in a transmit path includes a variable gain element and a mixer in the transmit signal path (see the transmit path including 20 and 22, note paragraph 0064).

Application/Control Number: 10/750,317

Art Unit: 2611

Fig.1 of Loke.

Regarding claim 9, the further limitation of wherein the existence or non-existence of data transmission is defined over a particular time interval is realized when a phone device of Loke is turned on and off transmitting signals over a period of desired time, wherein one skilled in the art would recognize that such implementation is well-used by any user with the phone device as illustrated in

 Claims 10,11,13-15,17 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loke et al. US 2001/0034217 A1 (Loke) in view of Mages et al. US 6,178,313 B1 (Mages).

Regarding claim 10, Loke teaches a transmitter in a communication system (see Fig.3 comprising: a variable gain element (20) configured to receive and input signal (from 7), the variable gain element having a variable gain covering a particular gain range (dynamic range of about 90 dB, note paragraph 0064); and a power amplifier section (10) coupled to the variable gain element (20), the power amplifier section having a plurality of discrete gain settings (note paragraph 0035), wherein one of the plurality of discrete gain settings is a bypass setting (note paragraph 0072).

However, Loke does not explicitly teach wherein the particular gain range of the variable gain element is less than a full dynamic range provided by the transmitter.

Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

Mages also teaches a variable gain element coupled to a power amplifier section (58 coupled to 64 in Fig.1) and wherein the particular gain range of the variable gain element is less than a full dynamic range provided by the transmitter (the gain control signal to the variable gain element and the power amplifier section is the combined of the two, note col.2, lines 37-45, col.4, lines 35-41 and col.5, lines 6-13, hence, one skilled in the art would recognize that part of the full dynamic range is provided by the variable gain element and another part is by the power amplifier section). Hence, both Loke and Mages teach the variable gain element coupled to the power amplifier section, wherein Mages further teaches that the combination of the two provides a range of the transmitter. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that the variable gain element and the power amplifier section of Loke also provide the claimed range, as explained above for the purpose of reducing battery drain by properly adjusting bias current provided to the variable gain element and the power amplifier section (note col.4, line 64col.5, line 5).

Regarding claim 11, Loke further teaches a bypass path coupled in parallel with the power amplifier via a first set of switches (see Fig.4 with switches 41 and 43 providing a parallel path).

Art Unit: 2611

317 Page 8

Regarding claim 13, Loke further teaches a driver coupled in series with the power amplifier (26 coupled to 10).

Regarding claim 14, Loke further teaches wherein the variable gain element is a variable gain amplifier (amplifier having variable gain, note paragraph 0064).

Regarding claim 15, Loke further teaches wherein the power amplifier section is placed in the bypass setting when a required output power level from the transmitter is below a particular threshold (if the output power is less than a threshold or the power range of the currently active power amplifiers 34,36,38, see 703 in Fig.7 taking the NO route, note paragraph 0086, the power amplifier is bypassed, note paragraph 0072).

Regarding claim 17, the further limitation of wherein the variable gain element and power amplifier section are powered down when the transmitter is not transmitting can be realized when the phone taught by Loke is turned off.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement as such. Applicant has not disclosed that such implementation provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with turning the phone off because it minimizes power consumption of the phone, since it is not in use or is

Art Unit: 2611

Page 9

not transmitting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to obtain the invention as specified in the claim.

Regarding claim 24, Mages further teaches a controller coupled to, and providing control signals for, the variable gain element and the power amplifier section (46 providing control signals to 58 and 64 in Fig.1).

Regarding claim 25, Mages further teaches a gain compensation circuit that implements a plurality of gain compensation tables, one table for each of the plurality of discrete gain settings (see memory device 76 in Fig.2 with table for different settings).

Regarding claim 26, Mages further teaches wherein the controller further comprises an interface circuit coupled to the gain compensation circuit (interface circuit 72 and 74 in Fig.2).

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loke et al. US 2001/0034217 A1 (Loke) in view of Mages et al. US 6,178,313 B1 (Mages) and Aihara US 5,909,643.

Regarding claim 12, Loke in view of Mages teach all subject matter claimed, as applied to claim 11. And although Loke in view of Mages teach the power

Application/Control Number: 10/750,317

Art Unit: 2611

amplifier section, do not explicitly teach an attenuator coupled in parallel with the power amplifier via second set of switches.

Aihara also teaches a power amplifier section (14-17 in Fig.4) an attenuator (20B) coupled in parallel with the power amplifier (15) via second set of switches (14,16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Aihara in the system of Loke by implementing the attenuator in the parallel path to the power amplifier for the purpose of preventing a feedback loop oscillation (note col.5, lines 8-12).

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loke et al. US 2001/0034217 A1 (Loke) in view of Mages et al. US 6,178,313 B1 (Mages) and Leinonen et al. US 6,563,883 B1 (Leinonen).

Regarding claim 16, Loke in view of Mages teach all subject matter claimed, as applied to claim 15. And although Loke further teaches wherein if the required output transmit power level is below a particular threshold, bypassing the power amplifier (if the output power is less than a threshold or the power range of the currently active power amplifiers 34,36,38, see 703 in Fig.7 taking the NO route, note paragraph 0086, the power amplifier is bypassed, note paragraph 0072), does not explicitly teach powering down the power amplifier.

Leinonen teaches a power amplifier in a transmitter (32 in Fig.3) wherein the transmitter further comprises the step of bypassing the power amplifier and switching the power amplifier (note col.11, lines 9-23). Hence, both Loke and

Application/Control Number: 10/750,317

Art Unit: 2611

Leinonen teach a power amplifier in a transmitter wherein the power amplifier is bypassed, and Leinonen further suggests switching the power amplifier off when the power amplifier is bypassed in order to operate the transmitter with a low power consumption (note col.11, lines 9-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Leinonen in the system of Loke and Jones by switching the power amplifier of Loke (10 in Fig.3) when the power amplifier is bypassed (through the switches 41,43 in Fig.4) for the purpose of operating the transmitter with a low

Allowable Subject Matter

power consumption, as taught by Leinonen (note col.11, lines 9-23).

- 6. Claims 3,4,6,18-23 and 27-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: present application discloses a transmitter comprising a variable gain amplifier coupled to a power amplifier. Prior art teaches all the subject matter claimed, however, do not explicitly teach the further limitation of providing a selecting control signal to the power amplifier during the timing of boundaries of transmitted code symbols, and do not explicitly teach power amplifier and the variable gain amplifier controlled by corresponding control signals having different update rate.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,317

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Sam Ahn whose telephone number is (571) 272-3044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

Sam K. Ahn Patent Examiner

2/20/07

RECEIVED

SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSE CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 0 9 2007

Ø1015

Notice of References Cited	Application/Control No. 10/750,317	Applicant(s)/Pater Reexamination YOUNIS ET AL.	nt Under
Notice of References Ched	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Sam K. Ahn	2611	Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	А	US-5,909,643	06-1999	Aihara, Yuukichi	455/127.3
*	В	US-5,920,808	07-1999	Jones et al.	455/127.1
*	C	US-6,178,313	01-2001	Mages et al.	455/127.2
*	D	US-6,563,883	05-2003	Leinonen et al.	375/295
*	E	U\$-2001/0034217	10-2001	LOKE et al.	455/126
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	н	U\$-			
	1	UŞ-			
	J	US-			
	к	U\$-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			· ·

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	2					
	0					·
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	\$					
	т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
,	٧	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 20070219

SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY:41:51 PM PAGE 1/001

O:Auto-reply fax to 415 393 9887 COMPANY:

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 415 393 9887

Fax Information

Date Received: Total Pages: 2/27/2007 6:36:47 PM [Eastern Standard Time]

15 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

_	1		SQUIRE, S	anders & Dempsey L.L.P.
SQI SANI	UIRE COUNT WORL)i		
		February 27, 2007		
	PLEASE DEL	IVER THESE PAGES IM	MRDLAT	CELY
	Number	of Pages (instuding cover):	: 14	l
To:	Examiner Sam Ahn	3	AX No.:	571-273-8300
COMPANY:	USPTO Unit 2611	Рис	INE NO.:	571-272-3044
FROM:	Taway J. Belimen	PIRECT II	TAL NO.:	+1.413.954.0398
E-man:	thangum@33d,com			
		stakenty moiled to SSD for t	0/750,311	
Dear Examina We receptly to for our firm. 1 10/759.312 - b Plouse confirm Thanks in adv	er Ahn, eccived the attached Offic We do have a case with the en it is sedited SIENT M. n that the uttached office an	e Action for case 10/750,31	.7, but we mber & : METHOL	n do not believe k was intended a very similar surial number - O FOR COATING STENT\$.
Dear Examina We receptly to for our firm. 1 10/759.312 - b Plouse confirm Thanks in adv	or Ahn. eccived the attached Offic We do have a case with th out it is cotiled SIENT M. in that the uttached office at conce.	e Action for case 10/750,31 n listed attorney docket nui ANDREL FIXTURE AND)	.7, but we mber & : METHOL	n do not believe k was intended a very similar surial number - O FOR COATING STENT\$.
Dear Examina We receptly to for our firm. 1 10/759.312 - b Plouse confirm Thanks in adv	or Ahn, sectived the attached Offic We do have a case with the set it is cotiled STENT M. in that the uttached office as somes. we — Parent Case Assistant	e Action for case 10/750,31 a listed attorney docket nu ANDREL FIXTURE AND A stion was not intended for a	.7. but we mber & 2 METHOL Dur case,	n do not believe k was intended a very similar surial number - O FOR COATING STENT\$.
Dear Examina We recently r for our firm." 10/759.312 - b Plouse evafirm Thanks in adv Tawny Rauma The attached inta adverse or aditiveting the total construction of daily exing the	or Ahn, eccived the attached Office We do have a case with the ear it is contited STENT M. in that the uttached office an ence, en - Parent Case Assistant Commission is LEGALLY PRIV. d above. If the tender of this is e message to the trender of this is e message to the trender of this is	ce Action for case 10/750,31 is listed attorney docket mai ANDREL FEXTURE AND is still the was not intended for a company of the company of t	7, but we mber & a METHOZ Pur case, E: AL and is picut or the chanceins acanon in a	in to not believe it was intended a very similar surial number — D FOR COATING STENTS. 10/750,312. Intended only for the use of the comployee or agent responsible and, distribution or chaptingsion of front, please posity us immediately
Dear Examina We recently r for our firm. 10/750.312 - b Please confirm Thanks in adv i away Bauma the attached interessee number to dailvering the this communication to tailvering the this communication to tailvering the	or Ahn, eccived the attached Office We do have a case with the ear it is contited STENT M. in that the uttached office an ence, en - Parent Case Assistant Commission is LEGALLY PRIV. d above. If the tender of this is e message to the trender of this is e message to the trender of this is	co Action for case 10/750,31 to listed attorney docket must an Intended for a color was not intended for inten	7, but we mber & a METHOZ Pur case, E: AL and is picut or the chanceins acanon in a	in to not believe it was intended a very similar surial number — D FOR COATING STENTS. 10/750,312. Intended only for the use of the comployee or agent responsible and, distribution or chaptingsion of front, please posity us immediately